1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
7	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
8				
9	MICKAIL MYLES, an individual,	Case No. 3:15-cv-01985-JAH-BLM		
10	Plaintiff,	JUDGMENT		
11	V.			
12	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, by and			
13	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, by and through the SAN DIEGO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, a public entity; and DEPUTY J. BANKS, an			
14	individual,			
15	Defendants.			
16				
17	Decision by Jury . This action came to trial or hearing by a jury duly selected			
18	and empaneled before this Court. The issues have been tried and heard and a			
19	unanimous verdict having been rendered by said jury on October 11, 2022,			
20	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND	ADJUDGED:		
21	Judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiff as follows:			
22	Section I			
23	Excessive Force			
24	A. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Deputy Banks' use			
25	of the police canine on Mr. Myles was in violation of the Fourth Amendment and a			
26	cause of Plaintiff's injuries as defined in the Court's Instructions?			
27	Yes: X No:			
28				
		1 Case No. 3:15-cv-01985-JAH-BLM		

1	B. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Deputy Banks' use		
2	of physical force on Mr. Myles was in violation of the Fourth Amendment and a cause		
3	of Plaintiff's injuries as defined in the Court's Instructions?		
4	Yes: X No:		
5			
6	C. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Deputy Banks'		
7	actions resulted in the false imprisonment of Mr. Myles in violation of the Fourth		
8	Amendment and a cause of Plaintiff's injuries as defined in the Court's Instructions's		
9	Yes: X No:		
10			
11	Section II		
12	Liability of the County of San Diego		
13	D. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff Myles		
14	proved his 1983 Claim against the County of San Diego that an unlawful official		
15	policy, practice or custom was a cause of Plaintiff's injuries as defined in the Court's		
16	Instructions?		
17	Yes: X No:		
18			
19	E. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff Myles		
20	proved his 1983 Claim against the County of San Diego that a failure to train was a		
21	cause of Plaintiff's injuries as defined in the Court's Instructions?		
22	Yes: X No:		
23			
24	F. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff Myles		
25	proved his 1983 Claim against the County of San Diego based on ratification and wa		
26	a cause of Plaintiff's injuries as defined in the Court's Instructions?		
27	Yes: X No:		
28			

1		Section III	
2	Interference with Civil Rights (Bane Act)		
3	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Deputy Banks was in		
4	Violation of California Civil Code 52.1 and caused Plaintiff's injury as defined in the		
5	Court's Instructions?		
6	Yes:	X No:	
7			
8		Section IV	
9		Negligence	
10	G.	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Deputy Banks was	
11	negligent with regard to Mr. Myles?		
12	Yes:	X No:	
13			
14	H.	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the County of San	
15	Diego was negligent with regard to Deputy Banks?		
16	Yes:	X No:	
17			
18	I.	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the negligence of	
19	Deputy Banks and/or the County of San Diego was a cause of Plaintiff's injuries as		
20	defined in the Court's Instructions?		
21	Yes:	X No:	
22			
23	J.	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Mr. Myles was	
24	negligent?		
25	Yes:_	No: X	
26			
27	K. Was Mr. Myles was negligent in causing his injury?		
28	Yes:_	No: X	
		3 Case No. 3:15-cv-01985-JAH-BLM	

1	Damages	
2	Past non-economic loss:	\$ 800,000.00
3	Future non-economic loss:	\$4,200,000.00
4		
5	DATED: October 11, 2022	
6		In Market
7		THE HON. JOHN A. HOUSTON
8		WNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		